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#### Abstract

The palladium-catalyzed cycloisomerization of acyclic bisdienes to cyclized enedienes defines a novel strategy for the stereoselective cyclization of certain unsymmetric bisdiene substrates to form functionalized fiveand six-membered rings. The full details of our investigation into this novel cycloisomerization, including our observations on substrate requirements, stereoselectivity, the influence of the catalyst precursor, and some mechanistic insights drawn from deuterium labeling studies, are discussed.


Transition metal catalyzed cyclization reactions have defined a number of new synthetic strategies for assembling structurally complex ring systems. Those cyclizations that proceed catalytic in metal are particularly noteworthy and may contribute toward meeting the need for environmentally benign chemical synthesis strategies for pharmaceutical and agrochemical products. Pal-ladium-catalysis is playing a significant role in this area, and a number of efficient, stereoselective palladium-mediated carbocyclization strategies are under development in laboratories around the world. ${ }^{1}$

We have been interested in the palladium-catalyzed intramolecular cyclizations of certain tetraenes: specifically, substrates that contain two 1,3-diene moieties within their structures. Our intramolecular cyclization chemistry builds upon the known intermolecular linear dimerization-trapping of certain 1,3-dienes, principally 1,3 -butadiene. The butadiene linear dimerizationtrapping reaction, originally termed diene telomerization, has a rather long history. It was first reported in 1967, independently by Smutny ${ }^{2}$ and by Hagihara and co-workers, ${ }^{3}$ and has at least occasionally found industrial application. Its development continues to be a topic of significant interest in both the academic and patent literature. ${ }^{4}$

The original reports describing the metal-catalyzed butadiene dimerization-trapping employed soluble palladium complexes as the metal catalyst precursor. Subsequently, a variety of metals (e.g., nickel, platinum, rhodium, and iridium) were shown to catalyze diene telomerization; however, palladium is still generally the metal of choice. In his 1967 paper, Smutny ${ }^{2}$ reported that 1,3 -butadiene undergoes efficient palladiumcatalyzed linear dimerization with incorporation of phenol upon treatment with palladium dichloride or the allylpalladium chloride dimer to afford functionalized octadienes, as a mixture of predominantly the 1-phenoxy-2,7-octadiene (1) with minor amounts of the 3 -substituted-1,7-octadiene isomer (2). The mixture could be efficiently converted to 1,3,7-octatriene (3) by subsequent palladium-catalyzed elimination of phenol. Hagihara and co-workers ${ }^{3}$ also reported that the reaction with

[^0]alcohol trapping reagents afforded predominantly 1-alkoxy-2,7octadienes but additionally found that in the absence of trapping reagent treatment of butadiene with the bis(triphenylphosphine)(maleic anhydride)palladium(0) complex afforded 1,3,7-octatriene directly.


In 1989, we reported what to our knowledge are the first examples of an intramolecular variant of this diene dimerizationtrapping reaction. This cyclization strategy provides a chemically efficient and stereoselective method for the construction of functionalized ring systems using both intermolecular ${ }^{5-8}$ and intramolecular trapping reagents. ${ }^{9-11}$ Furthermore, it complements the recently developed nickel-catalyzed [4 +4]-cycloaddition reactions of such substrates. ${ }^{12,13}$ For example, the simple bisdiene substrate 4 , which affords a bicyclo[3.6.0]undecadiene ring skeleton using nickel(0)-catalysis, undergoes palladiumcatalyzed cyclization in the presence of phenol to afford the five-membered carbocycle 5 in high yield and isomeric purity. ${ }^{13}$

Naturally, we were curious as to whether a cycloisomerization mode akin to Hagihara's direct conversion of 1,3-butadiene to $1,3,7$-octatriene would also be facile. We find that in the

[^1]
absence of added trapping reagent bisdiene 4 undergoes palladium-catalyzed cycloisomerization to give 6 in $65 \%$ yield ( 0.05 equiv $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / 2 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right], \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Herein, we discuss the full details of our investigation into the palladiumcatalyzed cycloisomerization of acyclic bisdienes to cyclized enedienes, including our observations on substrate requirements, stereoselectivity, the influence of the catalyst precursor, and some mechanistic insights drawn from deuterium labeling studies.

## Results and Discussion

While the cycloisomerization of bisdiene 4 to cyclized enediene $\mathbf{6}$ establishes the equivalent of the Hagihara butadiene-to-octatriene conversion as we had hoped, the reaction is not particularly facile under the conditions examined. $\mathbf{6}$ is formed as a 1:1 mixture of double bond stereoisomers, and a small amount of the product formed by trapping with acetate (i.e., 7, $8 \%$ yield) is also isolated. The acetate trapping reagent is inadvertently introduced with the palladium catalyst precursor, and 7 may be an intermediate enroute to 6 . The results are even worse for the homologous bisdienes 8 and 10. Palladiumcatalyzed cyclization of $\mathbf{8}$ affords a mixture of four isomeric cycloisomerization products $\mathbf{9}$ in only $30 \%$ yield, and attempted cyclization of $\mathbf{1 0}$ failed to produce any of the anticipated sevenmembered ring cycloisomerization product.


The cycloisomerization of bisdiene $\mathbf{1 1}$ proves to be much more interesting. At the onset, this substrate, being unsymmetrical, seemed an unlikely candidate for cyclization. Substrates in which the two 1,3-diene subunits are substituted differently can in principle react via a number of isomeric reaction pathways. The telomerization literature, although rather extensive, fails to identify strategies for controlling regio- and/ or chemoselectivity in such cases. For example, the linear dimerization of simple unsymmetrical 1,3-dienes (e.g., isoprene or piperylene) and the attempted selective cross coupling of different 1,3 -dienes usually afford a severe mixture of isomeric products. In the case of $\mathbf{1 1}$, it was not clear whether there would be a significant preference for the more or less substituted diene in the starting material to give rise to 1,3-diene moiety in the
cyclized product (i.e., 12a $\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}\right)$ vs $\mathbf{1 2 b}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\right.$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), and furthermore, each of the two internal double bonds in $\mathbf{1 2}$ could give rise to two geometrical isomers.

Nonetheless, we recognized that it would be critical to find control elements to efficiently direct the cyclization of unsymmetrical substrates, so $\mathbf{1 1}$ was prepared and subjected to palladium-catalyzed cyclization. To our surprise, structure $\mathbf{1 2}$ is not formed. Instead, the cycloisomerization proceeds via a slightly different mode and affords $\mathbf{1 3}$ in near quantitative yield and high isomeric purity ( $>95 \%$ ). Enediene $\mathbf{1 3}$ is formally the result of palladium-catalyzed carbocyclization followed by apparent intramolecular transfer of an allylic hydrogen (vide infra), and the conversion of an acyclic bisdiene to a cyclized enediene defines a new palladium-mediated reaction mode of bisdienes. The overall transformation, like several other metalmediated cycloisomerizations, ${ }^{14,15}$ can be thought of as a vinylogue of the Alder-ene reaction; in this case, formally a [6 +2 ]ene cyclization. The reaction puts into place a new 1,3diene and a terminal alkene in the cyclized product. These functionalities should prove useful for further synthetic manipulations and elaboration, for example, via subsequent interor intramolecular cycloaddition.


Substrate Scope and Limitations. We next investigated the reaction of bisdiene substrate 14 . This substrate differs from 11 in that both 1,3 -diene moieties are 1,4 -disubstituted, and we find that its palladium-catalyzed reaction proceeds via yet another cycloisomerization mode. Spectroscopic analysis establishes the gross structure as resulting from [4+2]-cycloaddition as depicted in structure 15. Catalytic metal-mediated cycloaddition reactions are a popular subset of cycloisomerization reaction, ${ }^{16}$ but while $\mathbf{1 4}$ affords cycloadducts in high yield ( $90 \%$ ), a mixture of stereoisomers is obtained, and we have not pursued developing this particular reaction mode further.


Since the bisdiene to enediene cycloisomerization pathway involves cleavage of an allylic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond, we wondered whether the nature of the allylic group was important. We prepared unsymmetric bisdiene substrates bearing allylic methylene and allylic methine hydrogens and find that they react similar to bisdiene 11. Palladium-catalyzed cycloisomerization of bisdiene 16 affords enediene 17 ( $90 \%$ ), and 18 affords 19 ( $96 \%$ ). Each cycloisomerization proceeds so as to afford

[^2]predominantly the trans relative stereochemistry between substituents on the newly formed cyclopentane ring (vide infra) and the E-geometry in the newly formed diene side chain. The reader may note the use of a different palladium catalyst precursor in these two cases ( $\mathbf{1 6}$ and 18) as compared to the cyclization of 11. The choice of the catalyst precursor is discussed in detail below.


The palladium-catalyzed cycloisomerization is also applicable to the formation of certain six-membered ring systems. For example, bisdienes 20 and 22a undergo palladium-catalyzed cyclization ( 0.05 equiv of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / 2-3 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]$, THF, $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to afford the functionalized $N$-sulfonyl piperidine 21 and cyclohexyl derivative 23, respectively. The yields are good (72 and $90 \%$, respectively), and the six-membered ring product is produced in high isomeric purity ( $>95 \%$ one isomer). The presence of additional methyl substituents on the diene, however, inhibits the cyclization. In contrast to the efficient fivemembered ring forming cyclization of $\mathbf{1 6}$ and related substrates (vide infra), bisdiene 22b fails to cyclize under the conditions examined $\left(0.05\right.$ equiv of $\left[(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} / 2 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right], \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}$, $\left.65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\right)$.


Stereochemical Issues. In our preliminary communication, ${ }^{17}$ we reported that bisdienes $\mathbf{2 4 a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ undergo palladiumcatalyzed cycloisomerization in refluxing THF to afford enedienes $\mathbf{2 5 a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ in good yield ( 84 and $86 \%$, respectively) and high isomeric purity. We now find that while the newly formed 1,4-disubstituted-1,3-diene subunit within the upper side chain is always formed with predominantly the $E, E$-geometry, in many cases, a 4-5:1 mixture of isomers is obtained. Specifically, it is the double bond within the 1,3-diene moiety that resides more remote to the newly formed ring that gives rise to the isomeric mixture. For example, bisdiene 24 c undergoes palladiumcatalyzed cycloisomerization to afford $\mathbf{2 5 c}$ as a $4: 1$ E,E:E,Z mixture in $88 \%$ yield. Similar results are obtained in fivemembered ring forming cyclizations; 26a affords 27a as a 4:1 $E, E: E, Z$ mixture ( $93 \%$ ). Even in the case of $\mathbf{2 7 b}$, which bears a relatively sterically demanding isopropyl substituent, a 5:1 $E, E: E, Z$ mixture is obtained. In retrospect, our early experi-
(17) Takacs, J. M.; Zhu, J.; Chandramouli, S. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 773-4.
ments were carried out with prolonged reflux times, conditions under which palladium-catalyzed alkene isomerization may have influenced the $E, E: E, Z$ ratio.


The substitution pattern of the bisdiene also strongly influences the diastereoselectivity. In contrast to 26, the substituted bisdienes 28a and $\mathbf{b}$ undergo palladium-catalyzed cycloisomerization $\left(0.05\right.$ equiv of $\left[(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} / 2 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right], \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}$, $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ ) with high diastereoselectivity. For example, 28a affords the $(E, E)$-isomer 29a with high selectivity ( $>20: 1 E, E$ : $E, Z)$ and in high yield ( $>95 \%$ ). 28b reacts similarly (15:1 $E, E$ : $E, Z, 93 \%$ yield). The influence of the methyl substituent in the reaction of $\mathbf{2 8}$ is reminiscent of the effect found by Hauser and co-workers ${ }^{18}$ in palladium-catalyzed elimination of allylic acetates. We carried out the palladium-catalyzed elimination of the unsubstituted allylic acetate 30a and 30b. In accord with Hauser's observations, the unsubstituted allylic acetate 30a affords a 1.6:1 E:Z mixture of dienes 32a, while the methylsubstituted analogue 30b affords 32b with high stereoselectivity ( $>20: 1 E: Z$ ). The dramatically improved stereoselectivity in the latter case can be rationalized by considering the conformations available to the presumed intermediate $\eta^{3}$-allylpalladium complex (i.e., 31a and 31g). In the case of $\mathrm{R}=$ methyl, the gauche conformer $\mathbf{3 1} \mathbf{g}$ suffers from significant nonbonded interactions that should strongly disfavor it relative to the anti conformer 31a, the latter presumably leading to the observed ( $E$ )-diene. These results suggest that elimination via an $\eta^{3}$ allylpalladium complex may play an important role in the mechanism of the cycloisomerization reaction (vide infra).


The five-membered ring forming substrate bearing a free hydroxyl group, bisdiene 33, reacts slowly ( $>24 \mathrm{~h}$ ) in refluxing THF. Its palladium-catalyzed cyclization proceeds more readily in acetonitrile ( 11 h ) and affords a 1.6:1 mixture of diastereomers epimeric at the hydroxyl-bearing stereocenter (i.e., 1.6:1 34a: 34b, 66\%). The trans-relative stereochemistry between the side chains in 34a and $\mathbf{b}$ (as illustrated) is made on the following basis. Hydrogenation of a mixture of $\mathbf{3 4 a}$ and $\mathbf{b}\left(1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{EtOH}, 5 \% \mathrm{Rh}$ on $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ ) gives the corresponding mixture of $\mathbf{3 5 a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$. PCC oxidation affords predominantly cyclopentanone 36 ( $>95 \%$ trans). Base catalyzed equilibration of $\mathbf{3 6}$ with its less favorable cis isomer $\mathbf{3 7}$ confirms the trans relative stereochemistry of the side chains in $\mathbf{3 6}$ and, therefore, in 34a and $\mathbf{b}$. Stereoselective reduction of the carbonyl in $36(\mathrm{Li}(s-$ $\mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{BH}, \mathrm{THF},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) re-forms $\mathbf{3 5 b}$, enabling the assignment


Results: 1.6:1 $\mathrm{E}: Z(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}) ;>20: 1 \mathrm{E}: Z\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
Figure 1. The influence of alkene substituents on the stereoselectivity of palladium-catalyzed elimination of allylic acetates. ${ }^{18}$
of the $\beta$ hydroxyl stereochemistry as shown in $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ to the minor isomer formed in the palladium-catalyzed cyclization of $\mathbf{3 3}$.


While the five-membered ring forming substrate 33 affords a 1.6:1 mixture of diastereomers, its homologue 38a affords a more favorable 5:1 mixture ( $76 \%$ yield). The all equatorial isomer of 39a predominates. Surprisingly, alcohol 38a is both more reactive and more selective than the silyl ether 38b derived from it. The latter substrate reacts relatively slowly in refluxing THF and affords a 1.7:1 mixture of the diastereomeric products 39b ( $62 \%$ yield).


It should be noted that high levels of stereoinduction have been observed in mechanistically related palladium-catalyzed cascade cyclizations of six-membered ring forming bisdiene substrates. ${ }^{9-11}$ While the issue of stereoinduction has not yet been examined in depth for this bisdiene to enediene cycloisomerization mode, the cyclization of 38a and the results of the related cascade cyclizations make the prospects appear promising.

Catalyst Precursor, Ligand, and Solvent Considerations. We find that a variety of solvents are suitable for these palladium-catalyzed cyclizations (e.g., THF, 2-propanol, methanol, acetonitrile, dichloromethane, and toluene). Among these, acetonitrile, alcohol, and alcohol-THF mixtures seem to afford the most active catalyst solutions. Adding 5-10 equiv of triethylamine as a co-catalyst is usually beneficial. Our working model for the catalytic cycle presumes a palladium(0) species as the active catalyst, and the role of the triethylamine is
presumably that of reducing agent for the palladium(II) catalyst precursor, although it could as well function as an external base in the catalytic cycle (vide infra).

To assess the influence of the catalyst precursor and ligand in a systematic way, we carried out a series of reactions using substrates 40 and $\mathbf{4 2}$. Based on the results discussed above, the former was expected to undergo relatively facile palladiumcatalyzed cycloisomerization. The latter is expected to be a more demanding substrate. We noted above, for example, that bisdiene 22b failed to cyclize using the standard $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ catalyst system and that the successful cycloisomerizations of bisdienes $\mathbf{1 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 8}$ required a different palladium catalyst precursor.


A large number of palladium(II) salts have been shown to be effective catalyst precursors for the telomerization of butadiene. ${ }^{4}$ A small group of these was examined for the cycloisomerization of compound $\mathbf{4 0}$, under an otherwise standard set of reaction conditions ( 0.05 equiv of [palladium complex/ $\left.3 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]$, THF, 5 equiv of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ ). To summarize the findings: (1) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OTFA})_{2}$, and $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}$ each afford product 41 in yields approaching quantitative, albeit as an $E, E: E, Z$ isomer mixture; (2) $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ in THF or in methanol proceed to approximately $50 \%$ conversion; and (3) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2},(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$, and allylpalladium chloride dimer fail to give an active catalyst under these conditions. A similar study using bisdiene $\mathbf{4 2}$ verified that it is indeed a substantially less reactive substrate. Among the catalyst precursors screened, only $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}$ gives complete reaction under the conditions given above. In contrast, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ gives less than $50 \%$ cyclization even after prolonged reaction times ( 48 h ).

The added phosphine ligand was also systematically varied using an otherwise standard set of reaction conditions ( 0.05 equiv of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 5$ equiv of $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}, 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\right)$. We find that $\mathbf{4 0}$ cyclizes to $\mathbf{4 1}$ in near quantitative yield using any of the following ligands: $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ ( 2 or 3 equiv per palladium, the former conditions affording perhaps a slightly more reactive catalyst); tri(2-furyl)phosphine (3 equiv); dppb (1 equiv); or dppe (1 equiv). The successful use of the strongly chelating bisphosphine ligand, dppe, is particularly surprising. To effect efficient cyclization of 42, however, $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}$ is required. Using this catalyst precursor, the cycloisomerization of $\mathbf{4 2}$ proceeds: (1) in yields approaching quantitative using $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ (2 or 3 equiv per Pd ), tri(4-fluorophenyl)phosphine (3 equiv), tri(o-tolyl)phosphine (3 equiv), tri( $n$-butyl)phosphine ( 3 equiv), and dppb (1 equiv); (2) to approximately $40 \%$ conversion using tri(2-furyl)phosphine (3 equiv) or triphenylarsine (3 equiv); and (3) to approximately $10 \%$ conversion using dppe ( 1 equiv). The results obtained with tri(2-furyl)phosphine and triphenylarsine are particularly surprising in light of the report that these two ligands afford exceptionally reactive palladium catalyst for coupling reactions. ${ }^{19}$

[^3]
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Figure 2. A working model for the palladium-catalyzed bisdiene to enediene cycloisomerization, illustrated for the conversion of 42 to 43.

Labeling Studies and Mechanistic Insights. To guide the development of a new reaction, it is often useful to postulate a chemically reasonable model that accounts for the observed regio- and stereoselectivity. Our model for the palladiumcatalyzed bisdiene to enediene cycloisomerization is illustrated in Figure 2 for the isomerization of $\mathbf{4 2}$ to $\mathbf{4 3}$. The catalytic cycle is largely in analogy to that proposed by Jolly and coworkers for the telomerization of butadiene. ${ }^{20,21}$ Three key features stand out. (1) The carbon-carbon bond forming event occurs via oxidative cyclization of the alkene complex 44 to form a palladacycle such as 45 . (2) The proton that is ultimately delivered to the propenyl (bottom) side chain is not delivered intramolecularly. (3) The 1,3-diene forming event is directly analogous to the palladium-catalyzed elimination of allylic alcohol derivatives (e.g., Figure 1). ${ }^{22,23}$

This model helps to rationalize several key aspects of the observed cyclization. It suggests that the preferred trans relative stereochemistry of the side chains in the product originates from the kinetically or thermodynamically preferred formation of the trans-fused bicyclic metallacycle 45. Currently, we favor the view that the formation of $\mathbf{4 5}$ is reversible under the reaction conditions and the preference for the observed trans product derives from the thermodynamic preference for the trans-fused 45 relative to its cis-fused diastereomer. ${ }^{24-27}$ Related trapping reactions provide some support for this view. The palladiumcatalyzed reaction of a common bisdiene substrate affords different cis:trans product ratios as a function of the trapping reagent used; ${ }^{5}$ a result that is consistent with equilibration of a metallacycle akin to $\mathbf{4 5}$ with its cis-fused diastereomer.

The structure of metallacycle 45 can also be used to rationalize the mode selectivity observed in the cyclization. 45

[^4]contains both $\eta^{1}$ - and $\eta^{3}$-allyl moieties, ${ }^{28}$ and, as illustrated in Figure 2, a hydrogen must be delivered to the side chain derived from the less substituted diene to account for the formation of 43. Chemically, this logically follows from a structure like 45. Alkyl substituents situated at the allyl terminus, as the methyl groups are in structure $\mathbf{4 5}$, should stabilize the $\eta^{3}$-allyl moiety. However, such an arrangement would destabilize a corresponding $\eta^{1}$-allyl complex. It therefore makes sense that the less substituted diene should give rise to the $\eta^{1}$-allyl moiety, and, as such, it is activated to receive the hydrogen via $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2^{\prime}$ protonation (i.e., conversion of $\mathbf{4 5}$ to 46 ). ${ }^{29}$ Furthermore, recall that bisdiene $\mathbf{2 8}$ affords a mixture of two double bond isomers and that it is only the double bond that resides more remote to the newly formed ring ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond) that gives rise to the $E, E$ : $E, Z$ mixture. Again, this is easily rationalized in the context of Figure 2. The alkene that ends up nearer the newly formed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond should be formed exclusively with the E-geometry, since it is part of the anti $\eta^{3}$-allyl moiety within intermediates 45 and 46.

The model outlined in Figure 2 is supported by the results of several isotopic labeling experiments. For example, the intermolecular delivery of hydrogen to the bottom side chain (i.e., 45 to 46) is verified by carrying out the palladium-catalyzed cyclization of bisdiene 48 in the presence of a deuterium source. When the reaction of $\mathbf{4 8}$ is run in THF alone, palladiumcatalyzed cycloisomerization proceeds in $85 \%$ yield. When the reaction is carried out in the presence of $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 49$ is formed with approximately $90 \%$ deuterium incorporation in the propenyl side chain. Furthermore, the deuterium is incorporated stereoselectively as is expected from our previously reported studies. ${ }^{11}$


Two deuterated analogues, each bearing a single suitably disposed $\mathrm{CD}_{3}$ substituent (i.e., 50a and $\mathbf{5 0 b}$ ), were prepared in high stereoisomeric and isotopic purity, and each was individually cyclized in order to further probe mechanistic aspects of the cycloisomerization reaction. Specifically, we were interested in the details concerning loss of hydrogen (deuterium) in going from presumed intermediate 46 to 47 (Figure 2). First, recall that the influence of the methyl substituent on diastereoselectivity in the cyclization of $\mathbf{2 8}$ and its analogy to palladium-

[^5]catalyzed allylic acetate elimination (Figure 1) is fully consistent with the proposed intermediacy of an $\eta^{3}$-allyl such as 46 in route to 47. Bisdienes 50a and 50b each cyclize in near quantitative yield to a mixture of $\mathbf{5 1}$ and 52 ( 0.05 equiv of $\left.\left[(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} / 2 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right], \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\right) .{ }^{30}$ Product 51 arises via loss of hydrogen; product $\mathbf{5 2}$ by loss of deuterium. The mixture is easily characterized by ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and comparing integration for the resonances due to $-\mathrm{CD}_{3}$ and $-\mathrm{CD}_{2}$, shows that each reaction proceeds with a large isotope effect favoring loss of hydrogen over deuterium. A 5:1 51:52 mixture is obtained from the cyclization of $\mathbf{5 0 a}$ and an 11:1 51:52 mixture from compound 50b.


52

We draw three conclusions from the results of the deuterium labeling experiments described above. (1) The cycloisomerization is not strictly intramolecular; specifically, the hydrogen is not transferred intramolecularly. (2) There is a relatively large isotope effect ( $>5$ ) for the step involving loss of the hydrogen (i.e., Figure 2, 46 to 47). (3) Hydrogen can be lost from either methyl substituent ( $\mathrm{R}^{t}$ or $\mathrm{R}^{c}$ ), but there must be a small inherent preference for loss of hydrogen from the substituent labeled $\mathrm{R}^{t}$ which is superimposed on the isotope effect $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ to account for the higher selectivity for the formation of $\mathbf{5 1}$ from $\mathbf{5 0 b}$ than 50a.

The generally accepted mechanism for the formation of a diene from an $\eta^{3}$-allyl palladium complex ( $\eta^{3}$-allyl metal complexes, in general) proceeds via isomerization to the corresponding $\eta^{1}$-allyl complex followed by $\beta$-hydride elimination. In the present case, one could invoke isomerization of $\mathbf{5 3}$ to 54 followed by $\beta$-hydride elimination. However, $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ is typically small for $\beta$-hydride elimination; ${ }^{31-33}$ for example, 2.3 $\pm 0.2$ in Schwartz' classic study of $\beta$-hydride elimination in an isotopically labeled iridium complex (i.e., $n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})$ $\left.\mathrm{DCH}_{2} \operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right) .{ }^{33}$ This is much smaller than we find for the reactions of $\mathbf{5 0 a}, \mathbf{b}$. Furthermore, the apparent (albeit small) preference for loss of hydrogen from the position labeled $\mathrm{R}^{t}$ does not follow from reaction via an $\eta^{1}$-allyl complex such as 54. In such a complex, the $\mathrm{R}^{c}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{t}$ substituents are essentially equivalent. These considerations have led us to propose that instead hydrogen is lost directly from 53 (equivalently, $\mathbf{4 6}$ in Figure 2) via base promoted deprotonation. ${ }^{34}$ Recent studies on the palladium-catalyzed elimination of allylic carbonates and acetates support this possibility. ${ }^{35,36}$
(31) Ozawa, F.; Ito, T.; Yamamoto, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6457-63.
(32) Brainard, R. L.; Whitesides, G. M. Organometallics 1985, 4, 15507.
(33) Evans, J.; Schwartz, J.; Urquhart, P. W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 81, C37-9.
(34) For similar arguments in a related palladium-catalyzed reaction, see: Chrisope, D. R.; Beak, P.; Saunders, W. H., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 230-8.
(35) Andersson, P. G.; Schab, S. Organometallics 1995, 14, 1-2.
(36) Takacs, J. M.; Lawson, E. C.; Clement, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. In press.


## Conclusions

At the onset of our studies into palladium-catalyzed cyclizations of bisdienes we recognized that it would be crucial to find control elements that efficiently direct the cyclization of unsymmetrical bisdiene substrates. We previously reported one general strategy, the use of intramolecularity to direct regiochemistry. An intramolecularly disposed nucleophile can efficiently control the site of nucleophile attack in certain cascade cyclizations. ${ }^{9-11}$ The bisdiene to enediene cycloisomerization described herein defines a second strategy by virtue of the unexpectedly facile ene reaction mode available to this class of unsymmetrical bisdienes.

Using this chemistry, functionalized five- and six-membered rings are generally formed in high yield and with high diastereoselectivity. The cyclization establishes the trans relative stereochemistry between the unsaturated side chains on the newly formed ring. Control over the double bond geometries can be high, although, in some cases, mixtures arise from the failure to control the double bond geometry most remote to the newly formed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond. In such cases, an additional diene substituent can help control the geometry. In general, electron donating substituents on the diene slow the rate of cycloisomerization. Labeling studies show that the hydrogen is not transferred intramolecularly, and the large isotope effect associated with its loss suggests that deprotonation rather than $\beta$-hydride elimination is mechanistically important. The bisdiene to enediene cycloisomerization puts into place a new 1,3diene and a terminal alkene in the cyclized product, functionalities that should prove useful for further synthetic manipulations and elaboration. Such strategies form the basis for synthetic applications that are currently in progress.

## Experimental Section ${ }^{37}$

NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (unless otherwise noted) on General Electric Omega 300 or 500, GE-Nicolet 360 MHz , or Varian VXR 200 spectrometers. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra were decoupled with Waltz 16 decoupling and reported in ppm from an internal standard deuterochloroform, and, in most cases, resonances are assigned by DEPT, HETCOR, and/or APT experiments. In such cases the number of attached protons is indicated in parentheses by $\mathrm{s}=$ quaternary carbon; $\mathrm{d}=$ methine; $\mathrm{t}=$ methylene; and $\mathrm{q}=$ methyl. Infrared spectra were obtained on an Analect RFX-65 FT-IR spectrometer from thin films using the Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR) technique or Perkin Elmer 1600 FTIR using salt plate. IR wavelengths are reported in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, and, in some cases, peak assignments and intensities (as percent absorbance) are reported in parentheses. Combustion analyses were performed by M-H-W Analytical Labs, Phoenix, AZ. High Resolution Mass Spectral determinations were performed by the Midwest Center for Mass Spectrometry, Lincoln, NE on a Kratos MS-50 mass spectrometer.

Preparation of 6,6-(Diethylcarboxy)-1,3,8,10-dodecatetraene (11). ${ }^{38}$ To a stirred suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(157 \mathrm{mg}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 mL of THF was added a solution of ( $E$ )-2,4-pentadienyl-propanedioic acid diethyl ester ${ }^{39}$ (CAS registry number 55693-36-2, $1.49 \mathrm{~g}, 5.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL

[^6]of THF. To the resulting solution was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(44.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was heated to reflux, and then a solution of ( $2 E, 4 E$ )-2,4-hexadien-1-yl acetate ( 459.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise. After 18 h at reflux, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, quenched by the addition of water ( 50 mL ), and extracted with ether ( $3 \times 25$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organics were washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica (230400 mesh, 97:3 Hex:EtOAc) afforded bisdiene 11 ( $759.0 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ): TLC analysis ( $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: E t O A c) ~ R_{f} 0.4$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.14-$ $6.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80-6.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.4-5.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.20-5.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, 4$ $\mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.58 (overlapping d, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.58 (d, 3 H , $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 170.6$ (s), 136.5 (d), 134.9 (d), 134.5 (d), 131.0 (d), 128.4 (d), 127.9 (d), 124.1 (d), 116.1 (t), 61.1 (t), 57.7 (s), 35.8 (t), 35.7 (t), 17.8 (q), 14.0 (q); IR (ATR) 1728 (s, C=O), 1652 (w), 1601 (m); HRMS ( $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $=306.1830$ ) found $m / z 306.1829$.

Cyclization of Bisdiene 11. A solution of $\mathbf{1 1}(306.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(12.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(26-39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10-0.15$ mmol ) in THF ( 5 mL ) was heated to reflux for 14 h , then cooled, and concentrated via rotovap. Chromatography on silica ( $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc})$ afforded cyclopentane 13 ( $290.0 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 360 MHz ) $\delta$ 6.34 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3,10.4,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}), 6.10$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.4$, $15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}), 5.83-5.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5,15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H})$, $5.18-4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.58-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.37-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.5,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.28(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz ) $\delta 172.6(\mathrm{~s}), 172.5(\mathrm{~s})$, 136.9 (d), 136.7 (d), 136.1 (d), 131.9 (d), 115.8 (t), 115.7 (t), 61.4 (t), 58.4 (s), 48.6 (d), 45.1 (d), 46.6 (t), 39.5 ( t , 37.1 ( t$), 14.0$ (q); IR (neat) $1733(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1649(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1641(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$; HRMS analysis (EI, $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}=306.1831$ ) found $m / z$ 306.1828.

Preparation of (3E,8E)-1-Cyclohexyl-6,6-(dicarboethoxy)-1,3,8,10undecatetraene (16)..$^{38}$ To a solution of ( $E$ )-2,4-pentadienylpropanedioic acid diethyl ester ${ }^{39}$ (CAS registry number 55693-36-2, 1.28 g , 5.67 mmol$)$ and $\mathrm{NaH}(136.0 \mathrm{mg}, 5.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting brown solution was brought to reflux, and then ( $2 E$ )-5-cyclohexyl-2,4-butadien-1-yl acetate ${ }^{40}(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was added. The resulting mixture was refluxed overnight ( 8 h ), then cooled to room temperature, and quenched by the addition of water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica (260-400 mesh, 95:5 Hex:EtOAc) afforded bisdiene $\mathbf{1 6}$ ( $860.0 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ): TLC analysis ( $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) $R_{f} 0.3$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.39-6.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.12-5.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $5.69-5.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57-5.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40-5.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.63 (overlapping d, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.25 (br s, 2 H ), 1.98 (br s, 2 H ), 1.51 (br s, 6 H ), 1.21 (t, $6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 170.6$ (s), 142.5 (s), 136.5 (d), 134.9 (d), 130.2 (d), 127.9 (d), 124.1 (d), 121.4 (d), 116.0 (t), 60.9 (t), 57.7 (s), 37.0 (t), 36.0 (t), $35.7(\mathrm{t}), 29.0(\mathrm{t}), 28.3(\mathrm{t}), 27.6(\mathrm{t}), 26.6(\mathrm{t}), 13.9(\mathrm{q})$; IR (salt plate) $1720(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 83)$, $1655(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 60)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4}=\right.$ $73.30 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.95 \% \mathrm{H}$ ) found $73.06 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.88 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Cyclization of Bisdiene 16. A solution of $\mathbf{1 6}(180.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.500$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was heated to reflux. After 24 h at reflux, chromatography on silica (95:5 Hex: EtOAc) afforded the cyclized enediene 17 ( $160.0 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ): TLC analysis ( $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: E t O A c) R_{f} 0.3$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.03$ (d, 1 $\mathrm{H}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.84-5.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.39-5.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-4.89$ (m, 2 H$), 4.16(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.54-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-1.45$ $(\mathrm{m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 172.5(\mathrm{~s})$, 136.8 (d), 135.2 (s), 134.5 (d), 127.9 (d), 127.4 (d), 115.6 (t), 61.2 (t), 58.2 (s), 48.9 (d), 45.2 (d), 40.9 ( t$), 39.5(\mathrm{t}), 36.9$ ( t$), 25.7$ ( t$), 24.5(\mathrm{t})$, 22.5 (t), 22.4 (t), 13.9 (q); IR (salt plate) $1724(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 72$ ), $1645(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$, 45); Combustion analysis ( $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4}=73.30 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.95 \% \mathrm{H}$ ) found $73.45 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.81 \% \mathrm{H}$.
(40) The preparation of this compound is described in the Supporting Information.

Preparation of ( $3 E, 8 E, 10 E$ )-12-Methyl-6,6-(dicarboethoxy)-1,3,8,10-tridecatetraene (18). ${ }^{38}$ To a solution of (E)-2,4-pentadienylpropanedioic acid diethyl ester ${ }^{39}$ (CAS registry number 55693-36-2, $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaH}(157.0 \mathrm{mg}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 60 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(40.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.80$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting brown solution was brought to reflux, and then ( $2 E, 4 E$ )-6-methyl-2,4-heptadien-1-ol acetate ${ }^{40,41}$ (CAS registry number 80595-43-3, $0.500 \mathrm{~g}, 2.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) was added. The resulting mixture was refluxed overnight ( 12 h ), then cooled to room temperature, and quenched by the addition of water ( 25 mL ). The mixture extracted with ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica ( $260-400$ mesh, $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: E t O A c$ ) afforded bisdiene $\mathbf{1 8}$ ( $840.0 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ): TLC analysis ( $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) $R_{f} 0.4$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.41-6.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14-5.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.63-5.44$ (m, 2 H), 5.41-5.28 (m, 1 H), $5.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.61-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.25$ (septet, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.22(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 170.7$ (s), $141.0(\mathrm{~d}), 136.6(\mathrm{~d}), 134.9$ (d), 134.8 (d), 127.9 (d), 126.7 (d), 124.5 (d), 116.2 (t), 61.1 (t), 57.8 (s), 35.9 (t), 35.8 (t), 30.9 (d), 22.2 (q), 14.1 (q); IR (salt plate) 1722 $(\mathbf{C}=\mathrm{O}, 88), 1640(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 30)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}=71.82 \%\right.$ C, $9.04 \% \mathrm{H}$ ) found $72.09 \% \mathrm{C}, 9.02 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Cyclization of Bisdiene 18. A solution of $\mathbf{1 8}(167.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was heated to reflux. After 20 h at reflux, chromatography on silica ( $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) afforded the cyclized enediene $\mathbf{1 9}$ ( $160.0 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ): TLC analysis ( 90 : $10 \mathrm{Hex}: E t \mathrm{OAc}) R_{f} 0.4 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.31-6.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.84-5.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.42-5.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-4.9(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.2$ (overlapping q, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.56-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.74$ (overlapping $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 172.6(\mathrm{~s})$, 172.5 (s), 136.9 (d), 133.9 (s), 132.5 (d), 127.8 (d), 124.7 (d), 115.6 (d), 61.3 (t), 58.3 ( s$), 49.0$ (d), 45.2 (d), 40.9 ( t), 39.5 (t), 37.1 (t), 25.8 (q), 18.2 (q), 13.9 (q); IR (salt plate) $1732(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 79), 1648(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$, 53); Combustion analysis ( $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}=71.82 \% \mathrm{C}, 9.04 \% \mathrm{H}$ ) found $72.00 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.96 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Preparation of $N$-Hexadien-2,4-yl- $N$-hexadien-3,5-yl, $p$-Toluene Sulfonamide (20). To a cooled $\left(-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $(E)-N-(3,5-$ hexadienyl) $p$-toluene sulfonamide ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and HMPA ( 0.25 $\mathrm{mL}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 200 mL ) was added a solution of $n$-BuLi $(2.50 \mathrm{~mL}(2.5 \mathrm{M}), 6.3 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 0.5 h , and then a solution of 2,4-hexadienyl chloride ( $0.82 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) was added. The resulting mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature, then quenched by the addition of water ( 50 mL ), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was extracted with EtOAc ( 100 mL ), and the organic extract washed with brine $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), then dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica afforded recovered starting material $(0.43 \mathrm{~g})$ and bisdiene 20 ( 0.92 g, $46 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 360 MHz ) $\delta 7.67$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.27 (d, $J$ $=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.0,10.2,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94-6.11$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{dq}, J=14.6,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.2,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.9,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.1 \mathrm{o}(\mathrm{d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00$ (d, $J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz ) $\delta 143.0$ (s), 137.0 (s), 136.7 (d), 134.2 (d), 133.0 (d), 130.5 (d), 130.3 (d), 130.2 (d), 129.5 (d), 127.0 (d), 124.5 (d), 115.7 (t), $49.8(\mathrm{t}), 46.5(\mathrm{t}), 31.7(\mathrm{t}), 21.3(\mathrm{q}), 17.9(\mathrm{q})$; IR (ATR) $1653(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ ), $1337\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 1153\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right.$ $=68.84 \% \mathrm{C}, 7.60 \% \mathrm{H}$ ) found $68.89 \% \mathrm{C}, 7.79 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Cyclization of Bisdiene 20. To a stirred solution of $\mathbf{2 0}(250.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(12.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(26-39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10-0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux ( 40 h ) to afford, after chromatography on silica (95:5 Hex:EtOAc), unreacted bisdiene $\mathbf{2 0}$ ( 50.0 mg ) and piperidine $21(180.0 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 360 MHz ) $\delta$ 6.27 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.8,10.4,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.14 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.1,10.4$ Hz ), $5.69-5.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.1,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.17-4.93$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.22-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12$ (dddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.0,11.0,8.8,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ),

[^7]2.00 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.0,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.82-1.73$ (m, 2 H ), 1.34 (dddd, 1 $\mathrm{H}, J=12.5,12.5,12.5,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.14-1.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 143.3$ (s), 136.4 (d), 135.6 (d), 133.8 (d), 133.6 (d), 133.0 (s), 129.5 (d), 127.6 (d), 116.7 (t), 50.9 (t), 46.3 (t), 44.6 (d), 39.5 (d), 37.4 (t), 29.6 (t), 21.4 (q); IR (ATR) 1651 (m, C=C), 1640 (m), 1341 (s, $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ ), $1162\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}=68.84 \%\right.$ C, $7.60 \% \mathrm{H}$ ) found $69.00 \% \mathrm{C}, 7.42 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Preparation of 7,7-(Diethylcarboxyl)-1,3,9,11-tridecatetraene (22a). To a mixture of ( $E$ )-(3,5-hexadienyl)propanedioic acid diethyl ester ${ }^{40}$ $(1.780 \mathrm{~g}, 7.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaH}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 2,4 -hexadienyl chloride ( $1.030 \mathrm{~g}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting mixture was stirred overnight ( 12 h ), then quenched by the careful addition of water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with EtOAc $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extract washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica afforded bisdiene 22a ( $2.200 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 360 MHz ) $\delta 6.26-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99-6.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.61-$ $5.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.33-5.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-4.22$ (m, 4 H), 2.67 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz ) $\delta 171.0$ (s), 136.9 (d), 134.3 (d), 133.6 (d), 131.4 (d), 131.1 (d), 128.4 (d), 124.3 (d), 115.2 ( t$), 61.0$ ( t$), 57.3$ (s), 35.9 (t), 31.7 (t), 27.0 (t), 17.9 (q), 14.0 (q); IR (ATR) 1726 ( s , br. $\mathbf{C}=0$ ), $1653(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1602(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4}=71.22 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.81 \% \mathrm{H}\right)$ found $71.11 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.88 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Cyclization of Bisdiene 22a. To a stirred solution of 22a (270.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(12.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(26-39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10-0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux $(16 \mathrm{~h})$ to afford, after chromatography on silica ( $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}), \mathbf{2 3}(240 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 360 MHz ) $\delta 6.34-6.24$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.0,10.3,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.06 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.1,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.72-5.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=15,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.13-4.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.20$ $(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.13(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.37-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.96 (dddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.4,12.4,9.0,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.81-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $1.26(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.16-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz ) $\delta 172.0$ (s), 170.8 (s), 137.7 (d), 137.6 (d), 136.9 (d), 136.4 (d), 131.8 (d), 116.0 ( $t$ ), 115.4 ( $t$ ), 61.2 ( $t), 60.9$ ( $t$ ), 54.7 (s), 42.7 (d), 40.6 (d), 38.3 (t), 37.5 ( t), 30.7 (t), 27.4 (t), 14.0 (q), 13.9 (q); IR (neat) $1730(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1640(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$; HRMS analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4}=320.1984\right)$ found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 320.1988$.

Preparation of $N$-(2,4-Octadienyl), $N$-(3,5-hexadieny), $\boldsymbol{p}$-Toluene Sulfonamide (24a). To a cooled ( $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) solution of ( $4 E$ )-1,4-octadien3 -ol ( $7.80 \mathrm{~g}, 61.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(5.0$ $\mathrm{mL}, 69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature, stirred for 3 h , and then partitioned with water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( 50 mL ), and the combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was distilled (aspirator pressure, $70-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to afford a mixture of octadienyl chlorides ( $7.10 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ) which were used without further purification.

To a cooled $\left(-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $(E)-N$ - $(3,5$-hexadienyl) $p$-toluene sulfonamide ( $1.310 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and HMPA $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 50 mL ) was added a solution of $n-\operatorname{BuLi}(2.50 \mathrm{~mL}(2.5 \mathrm{M}), 6.3$ mmol ). The resulting mixture was stirred for 0.5 h , and then a solution of 2,4-octadienyl chloride ( $0.839 \mathrm{~g}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) was added. The resulting mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature, then quenched by the addition of water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was extracted with EtOAc ( 100 mL ), and the organic extract was washed with brine ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), then dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica afforded bisdiene 24a ( $0.750 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 360 MHz ) $\delta 7.68(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.9,10.1$, $10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91-6.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.66(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.9,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.55(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.3,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.8,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10$ (d, $J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 3.16 (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41$ (s, 3 H ), 2.26-2.33 (m, 2 H ), $2.00-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz ) $\delta 142.8$ (s), 137.0 (s), 136.6 (d), 135.5 (d), 134.2 (d), 132.9 (d), 130.4 (d), 129.4 (d), 128.9 (d), 126.9 (d), 124.7 (d), $115.6(\mathrm{t}), 49.7(\mathrm{t}), 46.4(\mathrm{t}), 34.4(\mathrm{t}), 31.6(\mathrm{t}), 22.1(\mathrm{t}), 21.2(\mathrm{q}), 13.4$ (q); IR (ATR) 1653 (m, C=C), 1599 (m, Ar), 1338 (s, $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ ), 1154 ( s ,
$\left.\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}=70.15 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.13 \% \mathrm{H}\right)$ found $70.49 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.27 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Cyclization of Bisdiene 24a. To a stirred solution of 24a (250.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(12.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(26-39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10-0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux ( 20 h ) to afford, after chromatography on silica ( $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ), piperidine 25a (215.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 86 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ) $\delta 6.10(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.1,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.97(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.1,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.71(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.1,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.58-5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.1,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98-4.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-$ $2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.74-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.23 (dddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.3,12.3,12.3,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.06-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.00(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz ) $\delta 143.3(\mathrm{~s}), 136.0(\mathrm{~d})$, 135.8 (d), 133.5 (d), 133.1 (s), 130.5 (d), 129.5 (d), 128.6 (d), 127.6 (d), 116.6 (t), 51.2 ( t , , 46.4 ( t$), 44.6$ (d), 39.6 (d), $37.5(\mathrm{t}), 29.7(\mathrm{t})$, 21.4 (q); IR (ATR) $1658(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1640(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1342\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$, 1163 (s, $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ ); Combustion analysis ( $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}=70.15 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.13 \%$ H) found $70.49 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.37 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Preparation of 7,7-(Diethylcarboxyl)pentadeca-1,3,9,11-tetraene (24b). To a mixture of ( $E$ )-(3,5-hexadienyl)propanedioic acid diethyl ester ${ }^{40}(950 \mathrm{mg}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaH}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 75 mL ) was added 2,4 -octadienyl chloride ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting mixture was stirred overnight ( 12 h ), then quenched by the careful addition of water ( 50 mL ), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was extracted with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic extract was washed with brine $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica ( $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: E t O A c$ ) afforded bisdiene 24a ( $1.160 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(360 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.21-$ $6.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91-6.06(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.52-5.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.30-5.38$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz ) $\delta 171.0(\mathrm{~s})$, 136.9 (d), 134.5 (d), 133.9 (d), 133.6 (d), 131.4 (d), 129.9 (d), 124.5 (d), 115.2 ( t$), 61.0(\mathrm{t}), 57.4(\mathrm{~s}), 35.9(\mathrm{t}), 34.6(\mathrm{t}), 31.8(\mathrm{t}), 27.0(\mathrm{t}), 22.3$ (t), 14.0 (q), 13.6 (q); IR (ATR) 1729 (s, C=O), 1653 (m, C=C), 1602 (w, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ ); HRMS analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4}=348.2321\right.$ ) found $m / z 348.2310$.

Cyclization of Bisdiene 24b. To a stirred solution of 24b (250.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(12.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(26-39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10-0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux ( 40 h ) to afford, after chromatography on silica ( $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: E t \mathrm{OAc}$ ), 25b ( 210 mg , $84 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ) $\delta 6.02$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.1,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 5.99 (ddt, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.1,10.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.72-5.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=15.1,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.32(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.1,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.96-4.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), 4.24-4.20 (m, 2 H), 4.20-4.10 (m, 2 H), 2.37-2.26 (m, 3 H), 2.06 (dq, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.93 (dddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.3,12.3,9.1$, 3.6 Hz ), 1.78 (dddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.8,3.5,3.5,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.72-1.61$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.3,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.20$ $(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.20-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02$ (dddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.3$, $12.3,12.3,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $0.99(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(50 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta$ 172.2 (s), 171.0 (s), 136.7 (d), 134.8 (d), 131.4 (d), 116.0 (t), 61.2 (t), $61.0(\mathrm{t}), 54.8(\mathrm{~s}), 42.8(\mathrm{~d}), 38.4(\mathrm{t}), 37.8(\mathrm{t}), 30.8(\mathrm{t}), 27.5(\mathrm{t}), 25.5(\mathrm{t})$, 14.1 (q), 14.0 (q), $13.5(\mathrm{q})$; IR (ATR) $1729(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1652(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$, $1640(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$; HRMS analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4}=348.2301\right)$ found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 348.2289.

Preparation of ( $\mathbf{8 E} \mathbf{E}$ - $\mathbf{1 , 8 , 1 0}$ - Undecatrien-3-ol. To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of ( $6 E$ )-6,8-nonadienal ${ }^{40,42}(4.40 \mathrm{~g}, 31.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 75 mL ) was added vinylmagnesium bromide ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 35.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 35.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 2 h , the mixture was quenched by the addition of water ( 75 mL ) and partitioned with ether $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica (230-400 mesh, 80:20 Hex:EtOAc) afforded the allylic alcohol ( $4.21 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ): TLC analysis ( $80: 20 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) $R_{f} 0.4 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.40-6.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11-5.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91-5.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), 5.26-4.84 (m, 4 H), 4.17-3.94 (m, 1 H), 2.53-1.93 (m, 2 H), $1.62-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 141.2$ (d), 137.1 (d), 134.9 (d), 130.9 (d), 114.5 ( $t$ ), 114.3 ( $t$ ), 72.8 (d), 36.6 ( $t), 32.3$ ( $t), 28.9$ ( $t$ ), 24.7 (t); IR (ATR) 3650-3200 (OH, 55); Combustion analysis ( $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}$ $=79.46 \% \mathrm{C}, 10.91 \% \mathrm{H})$ found $79.69 \% \mathrm{C}, 10.75 \% \mathrm{H}$.
(42) Craig, D.; Geach, N. J.; Pearson, C. J.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 6071-98.

Preparation of ( $\mathbf{3 E}, 9 E$ )-1,3,9-Undecatrienyldiphenylphosphine oxide. Adapting the procedure of Yamamoto, ${ }^{43}$ chlorodiphenylphosphine ( $1.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of ( $8 E$ )-1,8,10-undecatrien-3-ol ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine ( 1.00 mL , $13.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ether ( 50 mL ). The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h , and then $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7 \mathrm{~g})$ was added. The resulting mixture stirred for an additional 0.5 h and then filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was taken up in xylenes (100 mL ), and the resulting solution was refluxed for 8 h . The xylenes were removed by short path distillation, and the residue was purified by chromatography on silica ( $260-400$ mesh, 100 EtOAc ) to afford the allylic phosphine oxide ( $1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%$ ): TLC analysis ( 100 EtOAc ) $R_{f}$ 0.3; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 7.79-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.28-6.04 (m, 1 H), $5.97-5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59-5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37-5.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.96(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J=6.0,13.6\left(J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}\right) \mathrm{Hz}\right), 1.95-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 136.8$ (d), 134.7 (d), 131.2 (d), 130.6 (d), 128.1 (d), 114.2 $(\mathrm{t}), 34.3\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=70 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 31.9(\mathrm{t}), 31.8(\mathrm{t}), 28.1(\mathrm{t}), 27.8(\mathrm{t})$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{OP}=350.1800\right)$ found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 350.1787$.

Preparation of Bisdiene 24c. To a cooled $\left(-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of ( $3 E, 9 E$ )-1,3,9-undecatrienyldiphenylphosphine oxide $(2.45 \mathrm{~g}, 6.99$ mmol) and HMPA ( $1.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 50 mL ) was added $n$-BuLi ( $2.5 \mathrm{M}, 3.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 30 min , a solution of the ethylene ketal of levulinal ${ }^{40,44}(1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 6.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise, and the mixture was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature overnight ( 8 h ). The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of water $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and partitioned with ether $(3 \times 100$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica (260-400 mesh, 80:20 Hex: EtOAc) afforded bisdiene $\mathbf{2 4 c}(1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%)$ : TLC analysis ( $80: 20$ Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.5$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.38-6.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.07-$ $5.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.74-5.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.89 (br s, 4 H ), 2.29-1.84 (m, 6 H ), $1.77-1.55$ (m, 2 H ), $1.46-1.16$ (m, 7 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 137.1$ (d), 134.9 (d), 132.0 (d), 131.5 (d), 130.9 (d), 130.3 (d), 130.2 (d), 114.5 (t), 64.4 (t), 38.6 (t), $32.2(\mathrm{t}), 28.8(\mathrm{t}), 28.5(\mathrm{t}), 26.9$ (t), $23.7(\mathrm{q})$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2}=276.2089\right)$ found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 276.2099$.

Cyclization of Bisdiene 24c. To a solution of 24c ( $251.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(36.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.64 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was refluxed for 24 h . Filtration through silica (260-400 mesh, 95:5 Hex:EtOAc) afforded enediene 25c ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) as a 4:1 (E:Z) mixture of double bond isomers: TLC analysis ( $95: 5$ Hex: EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.2$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.18-5.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.78-5.32$ (m, 3 H), 4.97-4.81 (m, 2 H ), 3.96 (s, 4 H$), 2.56-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.31-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 138.7$ (d), 138.0 (d), 134.5 (d), 130.5 (d), 126.7 (d), 116.2 (t), 65.3 (t), 47.4 (d), 43.2 ( t , , 42.4 (d), 39.7 (t), 34.4 ( t$), 31.9$ ( t$), 26.6$ (t), 24.5 ( t$)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2}=78.21 \% \mathrm{C}, 10.21 \% \mathrm{H}\right)$ found $78.40 \%$ C, $10.17 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Preparation of 6,6-(Diethylcarboxy)-1,3,8,10-tetradecatetraene (26a). To a mixture of $(E)$-(3,5-octadienyl)propanedioic acid diethyl ester ( $1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 6.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaH}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO ( 100 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added pentadienyl chloride $(0.82 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h , then quenched by the careful addition of water ( 50 mL ), and extracted with EtOAc $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extract washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 50 $\mathrm{mL})$, then dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica afforded bisdiene $26 \mathrm{a}(2.05 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(360 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta$ 6.28 (ddd, $J=16.9,10.1,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94-6.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.49-$ $5.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.33-5.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01$ (d, $J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.42$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz ) $\delta 170.7$ (s), 136.6 (d), 134.9 (d), 134.7 (d), 133.8 (d), 129.9 (d), 128.0 (d), 124.4 (d), 116.2 (t), 61.1 ( $t$ ), 57.8 ( s$), 36.0$ (t), 35.8 (t), 34.6 (t), 22.3 (t), 14.1 (q), 13.6 (q); IR (ATR) $1729(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 1652 (w, C=C), 1601 (w, C=C); Combustion analysis ( $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}=$ $71.86 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.98 \% \mathrm{H})$ found $71.82 \% \mathrm{C}, 9.04 \% \mathrm{H}$.

[^8]Cyclization of Bisdiene 26a. To a solution of 26a ( $500.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 10 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(12.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(26.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.64 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was refluxed for 40 h . Chromatography on silica (260-400 mesh, 95:5 Hex:EtOAc) afforded triene $\mathbf{2 7 a}(470.0 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 360 MHz) $\delta 6.03-5.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.80-5.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.5,13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.03-4.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16$ (overlapping q's, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1$ Hz ), 2.52-2.45 (m, 2 H), 2.33-1.71 (m, 8 H ), 1.23 (overlapping t's, $6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.99(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(50 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta$ 172.6 (s), 172.5 (s), 136.8 (d), 134.9 (d), 132.9 (d), 131.5 (d), 128.9 (d), 115.7 (t), $61.3(\mathrm{t}), 58.3(\mathrm{~s}), 48.7$ (d, major isomer), 45.3 (d, minor isomer), 45.1 ( d , major isomer), 43.1 (d, minor isomer), 40.7 ( t ), 39.5 (t), 37.1 (t), 25.5 (t), 14.0 (q), 13.4 (q); IR (neat) 1734 (br s, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), $1641(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$; HRMS analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}=334.2142\right)$ found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 334.2143.

Preparation of (3E,8E,10E)-13-Methyl-6,6-(dicarboethoxy)$\mathbf{1 , 3 , 8 , 1 0}$-tetradecatetraene (26b). ${ }^{38}$ To a solution of (E)-2,4-pentadienylpropanedioic acid diethyl ester ${ }^{39}$ (CAS registry number 55693-36$2,1.37 \mathrm{~g}, 6.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaH}(145.0 \mathrm{mg}, 6.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 50 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(37.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Bu}{ }_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.17 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.68 mmol ). The resulting solution (turns brown) was brought to reflux, and then ( $2 E, 4 E$ )-7-methyl-2,4-octadien-1-yl acetate ${ }^{40}(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75$ mmol ) was added. The resulting mixture was refluxed overnight (8 h), then cooled to room temperature, and quenched by the addition of water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether $(2 \times 100$ $\mathrm{mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica (260-400 mesh, 90:10 Hex:EtOAc) afforded bisdiene $\mathbf{2 6 b}(870.0 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%)$ : TLC analysis ( $90: 10 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}) R_{f} 0.4$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.38-6.17$ (m, 1 H), 6.16-5.85 (m, 3 H$)$, $5.61-5.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.41-5.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.63$ (overlapping d, 4 H ), $1.92(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.95 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.61 (septet, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.22(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 0.86 (overlapping s, 6 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 170.7$ (s), 136.6 (d), 134.9 (d), 134.7 (d), 132.8 (d), 130.8 (d), 127.9 (d), 124.5 (d), 116.2 (t), 61.2 (t), 57.8 (s), 41.9 (t), 35.9 (t), 28.4 (d), 22.2 (q), 14.1 (q); IR (salt plate) 1721 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 82), 1635(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 55)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}=72.38 \%\right.$ C, $9.26 \% \mathrm{H}$ ) found $72.49 \% \mathrm{C}, 9.13 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Cyclization of Bisdiene 26b. To a solution of 26b ( $174.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol})$, $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting solution was refluxed for 24 h . Chromatography on silica (260-400 mesh, 90:10 Hex:EtOAc) afforded enediene 27b ( $140.0 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) as a $4: 1$ mixture of $E$ - and $Z$-isomers: TLC analysis (90:10 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.4 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.11-5.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), 5.82-5.64 (m, 1 H$), 5.62-5.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43-5.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.16-4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $1.20(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 177.4$ (s), 170.6 (s), 140.4 (d), 136.7 (d), 132.9 (d), 131.6 (d), 136.9 (d), 115.6 (t), 61.2 (t), 58.2 ( s$), 48.6$ (d), 45.1 (d), 40.6 (t), 39.4 (t), 37.0 (t), 30.8 (d), 22.2 (q), 14.0 (q); IR (salt plate) $1730(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 95), 1638(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 58)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4}=72.38 \% \mathrm{C}, 9.26 \% \mathrm{H}\right)$ found $72.46 \% \mathrm{C}, 9.12 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Preparation of Methyl-Substituted Heptadienol Derivatives. (a) (4E)-4-Methyl-7-phenyl-1,4-heptadien-3-ol. To a cooled ( $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) solution of ( $2 E$ )-2-methyl-5-phenyl-2-pentenal (CAS Registry number 56161-69-4, $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 11.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 50 mL ) was added vinylmagnesium bromide ( 1.0 M in ether, $14.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise. The resulting solution was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight ( 8 h ). The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of water $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ether $(3 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica ( $260-400$ mesh, $80: 20 \mathrm{Hex}: E t O A c$ ) afforded the heptadienol ( $2.30 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ): TLC analysis (80:20 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f}$ 0.3 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 7.37-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.94-5.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.56(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.29(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.17(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.72(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.41$ (dt, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 141.8$ (d), 139.1 (d), 128.5 (d), 128.4 (d), 128.2 (d), 126.8 (d), 114.8 (t), 78.1 (d), 35.9 (t), 29.5 (t), 11.8 (q); IR (salt plate) $3300(\mathrm{OH}, 80), 1645$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 48)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}=83.12 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.97 \% \mathrm{H}\right)$ found $83.28 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.79 \% \mathrm{H}$.
(b) (4E)-4-Methyl-1,4-heptadien-3-ol. To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of 2-methyl-2-pentenal ( $9.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 82.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 50 mL ) was added vinyl magnesium bromide ( 1 M in ether, $100 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise over 15 min . The resulting mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature over 3 h , then recooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica (260-400 mesh, 80:20 Hex:EtOAc) afforded the heptadienol ( $9.20 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ): TLC analysis ( $80: 20 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) $R_{f} 0.4 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 5.9-5.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.02$ (q, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 139.3$ (d), 135.2 (s), 128.5 (d), 114.6 (t), 76.5 (d), 20.8 (t), 13.8 (q), 11.6 (q); IR (salt plate) $3377(\mathrm{OH}, 75), 1640(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 32)$; Combustion analysis ( $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}=76.13 \% \mathrm{C}, 11.19 \% \mathrm{H}$ ) found $76.15 \%$ C, $10.96 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Preparation of Methyl-Substituted Heptadienyl Acetate Derivatives. (a) (4E)-4-Methyl-7-phenyl-1,4-heptadien-3-ol Acetate. To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of ( $4 E$ )-4-methyl-7-phenyl-1,4-heptadien-3ol ( $740.0 \mathrm{mg}, 3.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMAP ( $41.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.10$ $\mathrm{mL}, 7.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.80 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.4 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting solution was stirred overnight ( 8 h ) and then quenched by the addition of water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with ether $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica (230-400 mesh, 90:10 Hex:EtOAc) afforded the heptadienyl acetate ( $800.0 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ): TLC analysis ( 90 : $10 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}) R_{f} 0.7$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 7.35-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.87-5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65-5.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.29-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.37(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.1(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 169.8$ (s), 135.3 (d), 132.9 (d), 128.8 (d), 128.4 (d), 128.2 (d), 128.0 (d), 127.9 (d), 125.8 (d), 116.3 (t), 79.2 (d), 35.4 (t), 29.6 (t), 21.1 (q), 12.2 (q); IR (salt plate) $1721(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 70$ ), $1660(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 55)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}=78.70 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.30 \%\right.$ H) found $78.59 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.55 \% \mathrm{H}$.
(b) (4E)-4-Methyl-1,4-heptadien-3-ol Acetate. To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of ( $4 E$ )-4-methyl-1,4-heptadien-3-ol ( $9.20 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMAP $(820.0 \mathrm{mg}, 7.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(21.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 146 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(15.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 146 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise. The resulting dark brown mixture was stirred overnight ( 8 h ) and then partitioned between ether:hexanes 1:1 ( 100 mL ) and water ( 150 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with ether $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organics dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica ( $60-200$ mesh, 90:10 Hex:EtOAc) afforded the heptadienyl acetate ( $11.00 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ): TLC analysis (90:10 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.3$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 5.85-$ $5.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.45(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.27-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 169.6$ (s), 135.3 (d), 131.4 (s), 130.7 (d), 116.1 (t), 76.5 (d), 20.9 (q), 20.7 (t), 13.6 (q), 11.9 (q); IR (salt plate) $1741(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 63), 1655(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 60)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}=71.39 \% \mathrm{C}, 9.59 \% \mathrm{H}\right)$ found $71.50 \% \mathrm{C}, 9.60 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Preparation of Methyl-Substituted Bisdienes. (a) (3E, $8 E, 10 E$ )-10-Methyl-13-phenyl-6,6-(dicarboethoxy)-3,8,10-tridecatetraene (28a). ${ }^{38}$ To a solution of (E)-2,4-pentadienylpropanedioic acid diethyl ester $^{39}$ (CAS registry number $55693-36-2,600.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaH}(63.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.13$ $\mathrm{mL}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(28.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$. To the resulting brown solution was added ( $4 E$ )-4-methyl-7-phenyl-1,4-heptadien-3-ol acetate ( $323.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the resulting mixture was refluxed overnight ( 8 h ). The mixture was concentrated, and the residue was chromatographed on silica (260-400 mesh, $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc})$ to afford the bisdiene 28a ( $410.0 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ): TLC analysis (95:5 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.1 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.34-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.38-6.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 6.16-6.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.64-5.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48-5.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.18-4.95 (m, 2 H), $4.19(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.67(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.44(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz) $\delta 170.7$ (s), 141.8 (d), 138.9 (d), 136.6 (d), 136.5 (d), 134.9 (d), 134.8 (d), 133.7 (d), 130.8 (d), 128.3 (d), 128.2 (d), 128.0 (d), 127.9 (d), 125.7 (d), 120.3 (d), 116.1 (t), 61.1 (t), 57.9 (s), 36.1 (t), $36.0(\mathrm{t}), 35.9$ ( t), 29.9 ( t$), 14.0(\mathrm{q}), 12.2$ (q); IR (salt plate) $1720(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 75), 1630(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 20)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4}=\right.$ $76.06 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.35 \% \mathrm{H})$ found $76.16 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.16 \% \mathrm{H}$.
(b) ( $3 E, 8 E, 10 E)-10$-Methyl-6,6-(dicarboethoxy)-1,3,8,10-tridecatetraene (28b). ${ }^{38}$ To a solution of (E)-2,4-pentadienylpropanedioic acid diethyl ester ${ }^{39}$ (CAS registry number $55693-36-2,5.40 \mathrm{~g}, 23.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaH}(571.0 \mathrm{mg}, 23.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 200 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(160.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol})$. To the resulting brown solution was added ( $4 E$ )-4-methyl-1,4-heptadien-3-ol acetate ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 11.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the resulting mixture was refluxed overnight ( 8 h ). The mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched by the addition of water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with ether $(3 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic extracts was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica (260400 mesh, $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) afforded the bisdiene 28b (3.10 g, 77\%): TLC analysis ( $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}) R_{f} 0.2 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz$) \delta 6.35-$ $6.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13-5.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.61-5.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41-5.23$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.17$ $(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.64(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.10(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.96(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 170.7$ (s), 139.1 (d), 136.6 (d), 134.9 (d), 133.7 (d), 132.5 ( s$), 128.1$ (d), 119.8 (d), 116.1 ( t$), 61.1$ ( t), 57.9 ( s$), 36.1$ ( t$)$, $35.8(\mathrm{t}), 21.2(\mathrm{t}), 14.1$ and 13.9 (overlapping q's), 12.1 (q); IR (salt plate) $1731(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 84), 1640(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 55)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}=71.82 \% \mathrm{C}, 9.04 \% \mathrm{H}\right)$ found $71.74 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.97 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Cyclizations of Methyl-Substituted Bisdienes. (a) Cyclization of Bisdiene 28a. To a solution of 28a ( $205.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(20.0$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting solution was refluxed for 24 h , then cooled, and filtered through silica (260-400 mesh, 95:5 Hex:EtOAc) to afford quantitative recovery of enediene 29a: TLC analysis (95:5 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.1 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.38-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.88-5.64$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.11-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.11$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 6$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 172.6(\mathrm{~s}), 172.5(\mathrm{~s})$, 140.6 (s), 136.8 (d), 135.7 (d), 134.6 (d), 132.9 (d), 128.5 (d), 128.4 (d), 128.3 (d), 128.2 (d), 115.6 (t), 61.3 (t), 46.1 (d), 44.3 (d), 40.7 (t), 39.5 (t), 39.1 ( t ), 37.2 (t), 13.9 (q); IR (salt plate) $1724(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 62$ ), $1631(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 19)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4}=76.06 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.35 \%\right.$ H) found C, $75.89 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.15 \% \mathrm{H}$.
(b) Cyclization of Bisdiene 28b. To a solution of $\mathbf{2 8 b}$ ( 167.0 mg , $0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was added $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 24 h , then cooled, and filtered through silica (260-400 mesh, 95:5 Hex:EtOAc) to afford quantitative recovery of enediene 29b: TLC analysis (95:5 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.2 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.84-5.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62-$ $5.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.05-4.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-$ $4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.69$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 172.9(\mathrm{~s}), 172.7$ ( s ), 136.8 (d), 135.8 (d), 134.8 ( s$), 131.7$ (d), 122.8 (d), 115.5 (t), 61.2 (t), $58.4(\mathrm{~s}), 46.2(\mathrm{~d}), 44.2$ (d), $40.8(\mathrm{t}), 39.5(\mathrm{t}), 37.3(\mathrm{t}), 18.1(\mathrm{q}), 13.9$ (q), 12.9 (q); IR (salt plate) $1730(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 63), 1635(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 45)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}=71.82 \% \mathrm{C}, 9.04 \% \mathrm{H}\right)$ found $71.66 \%$ C, $9.25 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Preparation of Hydroxy-Substituted Bisdienes. (a) (2E,4E,9E)-6-Hydroxy-( $2,4,9,11$ )-dodecatetraene (33). A suspension of dry, finely divided magnesium powder (CERAC 400 mesh, $1.41 \mathrm{~g}, 57.9$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 75 mL ) was activated by the addition of ethylene dibromide ( $0.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sonication for ca. 20 min . To this activated suspension was added 1-iodo-3,5-hexadiene ${ }^{40,45}$ (4.01 $\mathrm{g}, 19.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a solution in THF ( $15 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). After complete addition of the iodide the solution is sonicated for 15 min , maintaining the temperature at $\mathrm{ca} .20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a water bath. Formation of the Grignard reagent was accompanied by formation of a thick whitish gray slurry. The sonicator was replaced with a magnetic stirrer, and 2,4-hexadienal $(1.95 \mathrm{~g}, 19.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%)$ was added as a solution in THF ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Upon complete addition, the reaction mixture was stirred an additional 1.5 h and then quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$,

[^9] R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 6317-21.
filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica afforded bisdiene 33 ( $1.72 \mathrm{~g} 50 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: TLC analysis ( $90: 10 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) $R_{f} 0.31$; GC analysis ( $\mathrm{J} \& \mathrm{~W}$ Scientific 30 m DB-17, $100-260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ @ $\left.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}\right) 11.3$ ( $86 \%$ ) and $11.5 \mathrm{~min}(14 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta$ $6.28(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.15(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.0,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.98-6.09$ (m, 1 H), 5.63-5.75 (m, 2 H), 5.53 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.2,7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.11(\mathrm{q}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.14(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.74(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.49-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz ) $\delta 137.2$ (d), 134.5 (d), 133.1 (d), 131.5 (d), 131.1 (d), 130.9 (d), 130.1 (d), 115.1 (t), 72.2 (d), 36.7 (t), 28.5 ( t , 18.1 ( t ); IR (ATR, ZnSe) 3348 (broad, OH stretch), 1652 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ ); HRMS analysis ( $\mathrm{EI}, \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}=178.1358$ ) found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 178.1354.
(b) (2E,4E, 10E)-6-Hydroxy-2,4,10,12-tridecatetraene (38a). Following the procedure described above, the reaction of ( $2 E$ )-1-iodo-4,6-heptadiene ${ }^{46}$ (CAS registry number 114251-12-6, $11.0 \mathrm{~g}, 49.3$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ with Mg (CERAC 400 mesh powder, $2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 98.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2,4-hexadienal ( $5.48 \mathrm{~g}, 54.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded bisdiene 38a ( 5.43 g , $57 \%$ ): TLC analysis (90:10 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.3$; GC analysis (J \& W Scientific 30 m DB-17, $\left.100-260^{\circ} \mathrm{C} @ 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}\right) 13.7(85 \%), 13.8$ $\min (15 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(360 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.28(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.4,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.14(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.1,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.98-6.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.62-5.73(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15,7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.93$ (d, 1 H, $J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.1(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.09(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.73$ (dd, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.37-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz ) $\delta 137.2$ (d), 134.9 (d), 133.2 (d), 131.2 (d), 130.9 (d), 130.8 (d), 129.9 (d), 114.8 (t), 72.6 (d), 36.7 (t), 32.3 (t), 25.0 (t), 18.1 (q); IR (ATR, ZnSe ) 3346 (broad, OH ), 1653 (m, C=C); HRMS analysis (EI, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}=192.1515$ ) found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 192.1509$.

Cyclizations of Hydroxy-Substituted Bisdienes. (a) Cyclization of Bisdiene 33. To a solution of $\mathbf{3 3}(178.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(12.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 11 h , then cooled, and filtered through silica (260-400 mesh, 93:7 Hex:EtOAc) to afford a 1.6:1 mixture of alcohols 34a:34b ( $117.9 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ). Spectral data for the individual isomers are obtained on enriched chromatography fractions. 34a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ) $\delta$ $6.31(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.11(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.9,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.77-5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.9,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.13-4.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.26-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.39(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz ) $\delta 137.7$ (d), 137.5 (d), 136.5 (d), 133.7 (d), 116.4 (t), 116.3 (t), 78.9 (d), 58.8 (d), 43.6 (d), 39.2 (t), 33.2 (t), 28.3 (t); IR (ATR) $3342(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 1640(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1602(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}=80.85 \% \mathrm{C}, 10.18 \% \mathrm{H}\right)$ found $80.70 \%$ C, $10.49 \%$ H. 34b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ) $\delta 6.35(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9$, $10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.14(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.5,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.81-5.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.03-4.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-$ $2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(125 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 137.4$ (d), 137.0 (d), 134.7 (d), 133.1 (d), 115.9 (t), 115.4 (t), 76.4 (d), 54.8 (d), 41.2 (d), 38.2 (t), 33.5 (t), 28.7 ( t$)$; IR (ATR) 3391 (br s, OH), $1640\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\right.$ ); HRMS analysis ( $\mathrm{EI}, \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}=$ 178.1358) found $m / z 178.1361$.
(b) Cyclization of Bisdiene 38a. To a solution of $\mathbf{3 8 a}$ ( 192.0 mg , $1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(12.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 24 h , then cooled, and chromatographed on silica (260-400 mesh, 93:7 Hex:EtOAc) to afford 39a (major diastereomer, $120.0 \mathrm{mg}, 62.5 \%$ ) and 39a (minor diastereomer, 25.9 mg , $13.5 \%$ ). Major diastereomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.32$ (dt, 1 H , $J=16.9,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.15(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.2,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.76-5.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.1,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.13(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9,1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.01(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.96-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{dt}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.23-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.81-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 136.5$ (d), 136.3 (d), 135.2 (d), 135.1 (d), 116.4 (t), 116.1 (t), 72.6 (d), 55.5 (d), 40.0 (d), 38.5 (t), 33.2 (t), 30.3 (t), 23.3 (t); IR (ATR) 3565 (w, OH), 3417 (br, OH), 1640 (m, C=C), 1603 (w, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ ); Combustion analysis ( $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}=81.20 \% \mathrm{C}, 10.48 \% \mathrm{H}$ ) found $81.08 \% \mathrm{C}, 10.53 \% \mathrm{H}$. Minor diastereomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.33(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.09(\mathrm{ddt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.5$,
(46) Wulff, W. D.; Powers, T. S. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 2381-93.
$10.2,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.77-5.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.1(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.00$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.97-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.22-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.96-$ 0.93 (m, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz ) $\delta 137.1$ (d), 136.9 (d), 136.0 (d), 133.0 (d), 115.9 (t), 115.7 ( t$), 70.6$ (d), 50.5 (d), 38.6, 34.8, 32.9, 30.4, 19.6 (t); IR (ATR) 3565 ( $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 3400 (br s, OH), 1651 (m, C=C), 1646 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ ).

Preparation of $(4 E, 6 E)$-Ethyl 2-(carboethoxy)-4,6-nonadienoate. To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of ( $4 E$ )-1,4-heptadien-3-ol ${ }^{40,47}(14.0 \mathrm{~g}, 125$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(18.6 \mathrm{~g}, 156 \mathrm{mmol})$. After ca. 4 h , the reaction mixture was partitioned between ether ( 100 $\mathrm{mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with additional ether ( 100 mL ), and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was distilled (aspirator pressure) to afford a mixture of heptadienyl chlorides $(8.07 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%)$ which was used without further purification.

To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ mixture of $\mathrm{NaH}(1.64 \mathrm{~g}, 68.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMSO ( $29.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 372 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 100 mL ) was added diethyl malonate ( $19.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 124 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ). Upon complete addition, the cold bath was removed, and the resulting mixture was stirred (room temperature) for ca. 1 h . Afterwards, a solution of heptadienyl chlorides $(8.10 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was added. After 8 h , the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ether $(3 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica (260400 mesh, $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) afforded the malonate derivative ( 7.65 g , $50 \%$ ): TLC analysis ( $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}) R_{f} 0.2 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta$ $6.03-5.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.61-5.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45-5.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09$ $(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.29(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.54(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.97(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.16(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.88$ (t, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 168.5(\mathrm{~s}), 135.2(\mathrm{~d}), 133.1$ (d), 128.5 (d), 126.1 (d), 60.9 (t), 51.7 (d), 31.5 ( t$), 25.2$ ( t$), 13.8$ (q), 13.1 (q); IR (ZnSe, ATR) 1731 (93, C=O), 1635 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 42$ ); Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}=66.12 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.72 \% \mathrm{H}\right)$ found $66.16 \%$ C, $8.63 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Preparation of ( $\mathbf{3 E , 5 E , 1 1 E ) - 7 , 7 - ( D i c a r b o e t h o x y ) - 1 , 3 , 9 , 1 1 - t e t r a d e - ~}$ catetraene (40). To a mixture of $\mathrm{NaH}(800.0 \mathrm{mg}, 33.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO ( 60 mL ) was added ( $4 E, 6 E$ )-ethyl 2-(carboethoxy)-4,6-nonadienoate ( $7.45 \mathrm{~g}, 29.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 10 mL ) dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 h , after which a solution of 1-iodo-3,5-hexadiene ${ }^{40,48}(6.10 \mathrm{~g}, 29.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO ( 5 mL ) was added. The resulting solution was stirred overnight (ca. 8 h), then quenched by the addition of water ( 50 mL ), and extracted with ether $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica ( $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: E t O A c$ ) afforded bisdiene 40 ( $7.50 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ): TLC analysis ( $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) $R_{f} 0.2 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.34-6.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08-5.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3$ H), $5.67-5.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.35-5.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.65(\mathrm{~d}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.06(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.99(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 171.1$ (s), 136.9 (d), 135.6 (d), 134.4 (d), 133.7 (d), 131.4 (d), 128.7 (d), 124.5 (d), 115.2 (t), 61.1 (t), 57.9 (s), 35.8 (t), 31.7 (t), 27.0 ( $t$ ), 25.4 (t), 14.0 (q), 13.3 (q); FT-IR (ATR, ZnSe ) $1727(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 75), 1610(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$, 25); Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}=71.83 \% \mathrm{C}, 9.04 \% \mathrm{H}\right)$ found $71.90 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.97 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Cyclization of Bisdiene 40. To a solution of $\mathbf{4 0}(167.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting solution was refluxed for 24 h , then cooled, and filtered through silica (60-230 mesh, 95:5 Hex:EtOAc) to afford enediene 41 ( $160.0 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) as a mixture of $E$ - and $Z$-double bond isomers (approximately 1:1): TLC analysis (95:5 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.2 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.38-6.21(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.04-5.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.73-5.45$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.42-5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-4.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-3.99(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 172.1$ (s), 170.8 (s), 136.7 (d), 136.6 (d), 134.4

[^10](d), 131.1 (d), 131.2 (d), 129.1 (d), 127.5 (d), 126.2 (d), 124.6 (d), 115.9 (t) 61.1 (t,) 60.8 (t), 54.7 ( s), 43.0 (d), 42.7 (d), 40.6 (d), 40.5 (d), 38.3 (t), 38.2 (t), 37.6 ( t), 30.7 (t), 27.4 (t), 17.8 (q), 13.9 (q), 13.8 (q); IR (salt plate) 1729 ( $80, \mathbf{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), $1625(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 45)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}=71.82 \% \mathrm{C}, 9.04 \% \mathrm{H}\right)$ found $71.91 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.94 \%$ H.

Preparation of (4E,9E)-2-Methyl-7,7-(dicarboethoxy)-2,4,9,11dodecatetraene (42). To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of isopropyl triphenylphosphonium iodide ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 40 mL ) was added $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(2.5 \mathrm{M}, 1.76 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.40 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture stirred for 0.5 h . ( $2 E, 7 E$ )-5,5-(Dicarboethoxy)-2,7,9-decatrien-1-al ${ }^{40}$ (1.18 g, 4.01 mmol ) was then added, and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight ( 8 h ). The mixture was quenched by the addition of water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was extracted with ether $(3 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica (260-400 mesh, 95:5 Hex:EtOAc) afforded bisdiene 42 ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: TLC analysis (95:5 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.3 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz$) \delta 6.38-6.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.16-$ $6.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58-5.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38-5.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.09(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.2,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.61(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 170.8$ (s), 136.6 (d), 134.9 (d), 130.9 (d), 128.1 (d), 124.6 (d), 123.9 (d), 116.2 (t), 61.2 (t), $57.8(\mathrm{~s}), 36.1$ (t), 35.7 (t), 25.8 (q), 18.1 (q), 14.1 (q); IR (ATR, ZnSe$) 1727(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 98), 1694(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 26)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4}=71.22 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.81 \% \mathrm{H}\right)$ found $71.38 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.80 \%$ H.

Cyclization of Bisdiene 42. To a solution of $\mathbf{4 2}(160.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting solution was refluxed for 24 h , then cooled, and filtered through silica ( $60-230$ mesh, $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) to afford quantitative recovery of enediene $\mathbf{4 3}$ as a pale yellow oil: TLC Analysis (90:10 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.3 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.15$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.79-5.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 2.52-2.48 (m, 2 H), 2.31-2.19 (m, 2 H), 2.05-1.97 (m, 1 H$), 1.82$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.23(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 172.6(\mathrm{~s})$, 141.7 ( s$), 136.7$ (d), 133.8 (d), 131.6 (d), 115.7 (t), 115.1 (t), 61.3 ( t$)$, 58.2 ( s ), 48.8 (d), 45.1 (d), 40.7 ( t), 39.5 ( t$), 37.03$ ( t), 18.6 (q), 13.9 (q); IR (ATR, ZnSe$) 1728(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 92), 1623(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 25)$; Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4}=71.22 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.81 \% \mathrm{H}\right)$ found $71.07 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.69 \%$ H.

Cyclization of Bisdiene 48. (a) In the Absence of a Deuterium Source. To a solution of $48(147.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 6 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(11.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting solution was refluxed for 12 h , then cooled, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica (60-230 mesh, 85:15 Hex: EtOAc) afforded the nondeuterated enediene 49 ( $125.2 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $360 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70-5.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.6,8.5, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98-4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98$ (dd, $J=9.5,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34$ (apparent $\mathrm{p}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.30-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.70$ (overlapping m's, 2 H ), 1.75 (s, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.4$ (s), 141.2 ( s ), 135.4 (d), 135.3 (d), 134.0 (s), 129.6 (d), 128.0 (d), 127.5 (d), 116.7 ( t$), 116.3(\mathrm{t}), 53.0(\mathrm{t}), 52.5(\mathrm{t}), 47.5(\mathrm{~d}), 44.2(\mathrm{~d}), 35.6(\mathrm{t}), 21.5(\mathrm{q})$, 18.4 (q); IR (neat) 1677 (m), 1641 (m, C=C); HRMS analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{32} \mathrm{~S}=331.1606\right)$ found $m / z 331.1576$.
(b) In the Presence of Deuterated Methanol. To a solution of 48 $(144.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(11.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting solution was refluxed for 12 h , then cooled, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica (60-230 mesh, 85:15 Hex: EtOAc ) afforded 10.0 mg of recovered bisdiene and the monodeuterated enediene 49 ( $104.0 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $360 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.69(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.70-5.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,8.5, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98-4.87(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98$ (dd, $J=9.5,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91$ (dd, $J=$ $9.5,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34$ (apparent p, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-$ $2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(50 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.4$ (s), 141.2 (s), 135.4 (d), 135.3 (d), 134.0 (s), 129.6
(d), 128.0 (d), 127.5 (d), 116.7 (t), 116.3 (t), 53.0 (t), 52.5 (t), 47.5 (d), $44.1(\mathrm{~d}), 35.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{HCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.2(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{HCH}=$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.8\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{HCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5(\mathrm{q}), 18.4(\mathrm{q})$; HRMS analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{32} \mathrm{SD}=332.1669\right)$ found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 332.1669$.

Preparation of Deuterium-Labeled Bisdienes-Part 1. (a) (2E,4E)Ethyl 2-Methyl-6-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-hexadienoate. To a solution of carboethoxyethylidene triphenylphosphorane ( $4.70 \mathrm{~g}, 12.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added ( $2 E$ )-4-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2-butena ${ }^{40,49}$ $(2.82 \mathrm{~g}, 11.7 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture stirred overnight, after which the mixture was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated. Chromatography on silica (60-230 mesh, 80:20 Hex:EtOAc) afforded the unsaturated ester ( 3.55 g , $93 \%$ ) as a single stereoisomer: TLC analysis ( $90: 10 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}) R_{f} 0.5 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.21$ (d, 1 $\mathrm{H}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.74-6.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.3,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.39(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.21(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.06-1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 168.4$ (s), 140.2 (d), 137.5 (d), 124.1 (d), 63.3 (t), 60.4 (t), 17.8 (q), 14.2 (q), 12.4 (q), 12.3 (d); IR (salt plate) $1704(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 78), 1642(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$, 64), 1611 (48); Combustion analysis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}=66.21 \% \mathrm{C}, 10.50 \%\right.$ H) found $66.23 \% \mathrm{C}, 10.66 \% \mathrm{H}$.
(b) (2E,4E)-Ethyl 2-(Trideuteromethyl)-6-(triisopropylsiloxy-)2,4hexadienoate. To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ mixture of ethyl 2-(diethoxyphos-phinyl)-3,3,3-(trideutero)propionate ${ }^{40}(3.82 \mathrm{~g}, 15.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and NaH $(380.0 \mathrm{mg}, 15.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $(2 E)-4-$ (triisopropylsiloxy)-2-butenal ${ }^{40,49}(3.82 \mathrm{~g}, 15.8 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 2 h , the reaction was quenched by the addition of water ( 25 mL ), and the resulting mixture was extracted with ether $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica (60-200 mesh, 90:10 Hex:EtOAc) afforded the unsaturated ester ( $3.76 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ ) as a single stereoisomer ( $>95 \%$ deuterium incorporation at the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-methyl group determined by NMR): TLC analysis (90:10 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.4 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 7.20$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.73-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.6$, $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 168.5(\mathrm{~s})$, 140.3 (d), 137.6 (d), 126.4 (s), 124.1 (d), 63.3 (t), 60.4 (t), 17.9 (q), 11.9 (d); IR (ATR, ZnSe) $1704(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 72), 1644(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 35)$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}=329.2466\right)$ found $m / z 329.2472$.

Preparation of Deuterium-Labeled Bisdienes-Part 2. (a) (2E,4E)-1,1-Dideutero-2-methyl-6-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-hexadien-1-ol. To a cooled $\left(0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ slurry of $\mathrm{LiAlD}_{4}(2.80 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(275 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $(2 E, 4 E)$-ethyl 2-methyl-6-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-hexadienoate ( $34.00 \mathrm{~g}, 104 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred overnight ( 8 h ), slowly warming to room temperature. After recooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was quenched by the careful addition of water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with ether (2 $\times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined ether layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Flash chromatography on silica (60-200 mesh, 80: $10 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc})$ afforded the deuterated alcohol $(27.60 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%)$ as a single stereoisomer ( $>95 \%$ deuterium incorporation at $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ as determined by NMR): TLC analysis (80:10 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.2 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.61-6.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.72(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=15.3,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06-1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz) $\delta 136.4$ (s), 132.2 (d), $125.0(\mathrm{~d}), 124.2(\mathrm{~d}), 67.4$ ( 5 line pattern, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}}$ ), $63.6(\mathrm{t}), 17.8(\mathrm{q})$, 13.7 (q), $12.3(\mathrm{~d})$; IR (salt plate) $3330(\mathrm{OH}, 40), 1640(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 66)$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}=286.2297\right)$ found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 286.2286.
(b) (2E,4E)-2-(Trideuteromethyl)-6-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-hexa-dien-1-ol. To a cooled $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $(2 E, 4 E)$-ethyl 2-(trideu-teromethyl)-6-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-hexadienoate ( $1.63 \mathrm{~g}, 4.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 50 mL ) was added LAH ( 1.5 M in THF, $9.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was warmed to room temperature, and, after ca. 2 h , quenched by the addition of an aqueous solution of Rochelle salt (1 $\mathrm{M}, 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with ether $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica (60-200 mesh, 80:20 Hex:EtOAc) afforded the deuterated alcohol ( $960.0 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ) as a single stereoisomer: TLC analysis (80:20 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.3 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.61-6.45$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.75(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.7,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$,
(49) Roush, W. R.; Straub, J. A.; VanNieuwenhze, M. S. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 1636-48.
4.32 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.02$ (s, 2 H ), 2.46-2.20 (br s, 1 H$), 1.10-$ 1.03 (br s, 21 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 136.4$ (s), 132.5 (d), 124.9 (d), 124.3 (d), 68.2 (t), 63.6 (t), 17.9 (q), 11.9 (d); IR (ATR) 35603205 (br, OH, 50); HRMS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}=287.2361, \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}=\right.$ 244.1813) found $m / z$ 244.1807).

Preparation of Deuterium-Labeled Bisdienes-Part 3. (a) (2E,4E)-1-(Triisopropylsiloxy)-5-(trideuteriomethyl)-2,4-hexadiene. To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $(2 E, 4 E)$-1,1-dideutero-2-methyl-6-(triisopro-pylsiloxy)-2,4-hexadien-1-ol ( $8.52 \mathrm{~g}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMAP $(2.20 \mathrm{~g}, 18$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(4.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $p-\mathrm{TsCl}(6.83 \mathrm{~g}, 36.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 2 h , ether ( 100 mL ) was added, and the mixture was filtered through Celite. The filtrate was washed sequentially with 50 mL portions of $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated to afford tosylate, which was immediately carried forward.

To a cooled $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of the crude tosylate $(7.21 \mathrm{~g}, 16.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 25 mL ) was added $\mathrm{LiEt}_{3} \mathrm{BD}$ ( 1 M in THF, 25.0 mL , 25.0 mmol ). The resulting mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature overnight ( 8 h ) and then quenched by the addition of methanol ( 2 mL ). Chromatography on silica (60-200 mesh, 95:5 Hex: EtOAc ) afforded the deuterated hexadienol derivative ( $6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ) in high isomeric ( $>98 \%$ ) and isotopic ( $>95 \%$ deuterium incorporation at the $\mathrm{CD}_{3}$-group) purity by NMR analysis: TLC analysis (95:5 Hex: EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.7 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.59-6.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.64(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.3,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.31(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06-1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 138.6$ (s), 126.1 (d), 124.6 (d), 124.2 (d), 63.6 (t), 17.8 (q), 25.2 (seven line pattern, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}}$ ), 13.7 (q), 12.3 (d); IR (salt plate) $1640(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 76)$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{OSi}=271.2411\right)$ found $m / z, 271.2415$.
(b) (2E,4Z)-1-(Triisopropylsiloxy)-5-(trideuteriomethyl)-2,4-hexadiene. To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $(2 E, 4 E)$-2-(trideuteromethyl)-6-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-hexadien-1-ol ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 13.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(3.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{MeSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(1.40 \mathrm{~mL}$, $18 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise. The resulting mixture was slowly warmed up to room temperature and, after 8 h , partitioned between ether ( 50 mL ) water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was re-extracted with ether ( 50 $\mathrm{mL})$, and the combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated to afford the mesylate ( 4.0 g ), which was immediately carried forward.

To a cooled $\left(-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of the crude mesylate in THF (25 mL ) was added $\mathrm{LiEt}_{3} \mathrm{BH}$ ( $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{IN} \mathrm{THF} 16.5 \mathrm{~mL},, 16.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature overnight $(8 \mathrm{~h})$ and then quenched by the addition of water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with ether $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica (260-400 mesh, 90:10 Hex:EtOAc) afforded the deuterated hexadienol derivative ( $3.20 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ) in high isomeric ( $>98 \%$ ) and isotopic ( $>95 \%$ deuterium incorporation at the $\mathrm{CD}_{3}$-group) purity by NMR analysis: TLC analysis (90:10 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.7 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.58-6.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.65(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=15.2,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.32(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 129.7$ (d), 127.2 (s), 126.1 (d), 124.6 (d), 63.8 (t), 25.8 (q), 17.9 (q), 15.2 (d); $\operatorname{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{OSi}=\right.$ 271.2411) found $m / z 271.2407$.

Preparation of Deuterium-Labeled Bisdienes-Part 4. (a) (2E,4E)-5-(Trideuteriomethyl)-2,4-hexadien-1-ol. To a solution of ( $2 E, 4 E$ )-1-(triisopropylsiloxy)-5-(trideuteriomethyl)-2,4-hexadiene ( $5.74 \mathrm{~g}, 21.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 25 mL ) was added tetrabutylammonium fluoride (1.0 $\mathrm{M}, 32 \mathrm{~mL}, 32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After ca. 2 h , the mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica (60-200 mesh, 80:20 Hex:EtOAc) to afford the deuterated hexadienol $(800 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ) in high isomeric ( $>95 \%$ ) and isotopic ( $>95 \%$ deuterium incorporation at the $\mathrm{CD}_{3}$-group) purity by NMR analysis: TLC analysis (80:20 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.3 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.59-6.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.64(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.3,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.02$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 135.1(\mathrm{~s})$, 129.1 (d), 127.6 (d), 124.2 (d), 62.9 (t), 24.8 (seven line pattern, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}}$ ), 17.8 (q); IR (salt plate) $3318(\mathrm{OH}, 45), 1654(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 27)$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{O}=115.1076\right)$ found $m / z 115.1079$.
(b) (2E,4Z)-5-(Trideuteromethyl)-2,4-hexadien-1-ol. ${ }^{50}$ To a solution of ( $2 E, 4 Z$ )-1-(triisopropylsiloxy)-5-(trideuteriomethyl)-2,4-hexadiene $(240.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 2 mL ) was added triethylamine trihydrofluoride $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 8 h , the mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica (230-400 mesh, 95:5 Hex:EtOAc) to afford the deuterated hexadienol ( $50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ ) in high isomeric ( $>98 \%$ ) and isotopic ( $>95 \%$ deuterium incorporation at the $\mathrm{CD}_{3}$-group) purity by NMR analysis: TLC analysis (80:20 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.2 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.45-6.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.67-5.54$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.51-3.22(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 135.3$ (s), 128.9 (d), 127.7 (d), 124.2 (d), 62.9 (t), 25.6 (q), 17.3 (seven line pattern, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}}$ ); IR (ATR) 3560$3240(\mathrm{OH}, 65), 1657(66, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) ; \operatorname{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{O}=115.1076\right)$ found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 115.1076$.

Preparation of Deuterium-Labeled Bisdienes-Part 5. (a) (2E,4E)-1-Acetoxy-5-(trideuteriomethyl)-2,4-hexadiene. To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ mixture of ( $2 E, 4 E$ )-5-(trideuteriomethyl)-2,4-hexadien-1-ol ( 700.0 mg , $6.1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.80 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DMAP ( $67.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature and then partitioned between ether-hexanes $(1: 1,20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was re-extracted with ether $(2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Flash chromatography on silica (60-230 mesh, 95:5 Hex:EtOAc) afforded the deuterated hexadienyl acetate ( $760.0 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ): TLC analysis ( $95: 5 \mathrm{Hex}:$ EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.3$; Capillary GC analysis (J \& W Scientific 30 m DB 1701, 100$275{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ @ $\left.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}\right)$ showed a peak at $2.70 \mathrm{~min}(99.4 \%$ of the peak area); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.59-6.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.64(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.3,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 169.7$ (s), 136.1 (s), 130.6 (d), 123.7 (d), 123.2 (d), 64.5 (t), 24.5 (seven line pattern, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}}$ ), 20.1 (q), 13.7 (q); IR (salt plate) $1736(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 88), 1654(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 43)$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}=157.1182\right)$ found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 157.1186.
(b) (2E,4Z)-1-Acetoxy-5-(trideuteriomethyl)-2,4-hexadiene. To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ mixture of ( $2 E, 4 Z$ )-5-(trideuteriomethyl)-2,4-hexadien1 -ol ( $250.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DMAP $(25.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 8 h , slowly warming to room temperature. The reaction was quenched by the addition of water (50 $\mathrm{mL})$, and the mixture was extracted with ether $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica (260-400 mesh, 80:20 Hex:EtOAc) afforded the deuterated hexadienyl acetate ( $290.0 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ): TLC analysis (95:5 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.3 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz$) \delta 6.48-6.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.6,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.61-5.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz$) \delta 170.2(\mathrm{~s}), 136.6(\mathrm{~s})$, 131.0 (d), 123.9 (d), 123.2 (d), 64.8 (t), 25.5 (q), 20.5 (q), 17.1 (seven line pattern, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}}$ ); IR (ATR) $1736(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 99), 1660(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 62)$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}=157.1182\right)$ found $m / z$ 157.1180.

Preparation of Deuterium-Labeled Bisdienes 50a and 50b. (a) (2E,4E,9E)-2-(Trideuteriomethyl)-7,7-(dicarboethoxy)-2,4,9,11-dodecatetraene (50a). ${ }^{38}$ To a solution of (E)-2,4-pentadienylpropanedioic acid diethyl ester ${ }^{39}(870.0 \mathrm{mg}, 3.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaH}(46.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.9$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 22 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(24.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. To the resulting brown solution was added ( $2 E, 4 E$ )-1-acetoxy-5-(trideuteriomethyl)-2,4-hexadiene (250.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.75 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was refluxed overnight ( 8 h ), then cooled to room temperature, and quenched by the addition of water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with ether $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Flash chromatography on silica (260-400 mesh, 90:10 Hex: EtOAc) afforded the deuterated bisdiene $\mathbf{5 0 a}(390.0 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%)$ as an inseparable 9:1 ( $4 E: 4 Z$ ) mixture of isomers ( $>95 \%$ deuterium at the $\mathrm{CD}_{3}$-group as determined by NMR): TLC analysis (90:10 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.3 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.28-6.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.06-5.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $5.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.51-5.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31-5.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $5.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, 4$ $\mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.09(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.2,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.61 (overlapping d,
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$4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 170.4$ (s), 136.4 (d), 134.7 (d), 133.8 (d), 130.7 (d), 127.9 (d), 124.5 (d), 115.8 (t), 60.8 (q), 57.6 (s), 35.9 (t), 35.6 (t), 25.7 (seven line pattern, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}}$ ), $17.8(\mathrm{q}), 13.8(\mathrm{q})$; IR (salt plate) $1731(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 93)$, $1654(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 37) ; \operatorname{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}=323.2176\right)$ found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 323.2171$.
(b) (2Z,4E,9E)-2-(trideuteriomethyl)-7,7-(dicarboethoxy)-2,4,9,11dodecatetraene (50b). ${ }^{38}$ To a solution of $(E)$-2,4-pentadienylpropanedioic acid diethyl ester ${ }^{39}(795.0 \mathrm{mg}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaH}(85.0$ $\mathrm{mg}, 3.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(22.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. To the resulting brown solution was added ( $2 E, 4 Z$ )-1-acetoxy-5-(trideuteriomethyl)-2,4-hexadiene ( 250.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ). The resulting mixture was refluxed overnight ( 8 h ), then cooled to room temperature, and quenched by the addition of water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with ether $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Flash chromatography on silica (260-400 mesh, 90:10 Hex:EtOAc) afforded the deuterated bisdiene 50b (340.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ) as an inseparable $12: 1$ ( $4 E: 4 Z$ ) mixture of isomers ( $>95 \%$ deuterium at the $\mathrm{CD}_{3}$-group as determined by NMR): TLC analysis (90:10 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.2 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz)} \delta 6.29-6.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $6.07-5.9(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.52-5.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $5.33-5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.09(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.57$ (overlapping d, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta$ 170.4 (s), 136.4 (d), 134.7 (d), 133.8 (s), 130.7 (d), 127.9 (d), 124.5 (d), 123.7 (d), 115.8 (t), 60.8 (t), 57.6 ( s$), 35.9$ (t), 35.5 ( t), 25.5 (q), 17.1 (seven line pattern, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}}$ ), 13.8 (q); IR (ATR) $1720(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 83)$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}=323.2176\right)$ found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 323.2179$.

Cyclization of Deuterium-Labeled Bisdienes. (a) Cyclization of Bisdiene 50a. To a solution of $\mathbf{5 0 a}(162.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(20.0$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting solution was refluxed for 24 h , then cooled, and filtered through silica (260-400 mesh, 95:5 Hex:EtOAc) to afford a mixture of deuterated enedienes 51 and 52 ( $160.0 \mathrm{mg}, 98.9 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: TLC analysis (90:10 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.3 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.79-5.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45-5.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-4.81$ (m), $4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 4.15(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.52-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.82$ (s, 3 H ), $1.23(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 172.6(\mathrm{~s})$,
141.7 ( s ), 136.7 (d), 133.8 (d), 131.6 (d), 115.7 (t), 115.1 ( t), 61.3 ( t$)$, $58.2(\mathrm{~s}), 48.8(\mathrm{~d}), 45.1(\mathrm{~d}), 40.7(\mathrm{t}), 39.5(\mathrm{t}), 37.0(\mathrm{t}), 18.6(\mathrm{q}), 13.9$ (q); ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 4.82\left(-\mathrm{C}\left(=\mathrm{CD}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.78\left(=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)=\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; IR (salt plate) $1725(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 51)$; $\mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}=323.2176\right)$ found $m / z 323.2170$.
(b) Cyclization of Bisdiene 50b. To a solution of $\mathbf{5 0 b}(160.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting solution was refluxed for 24 h , then cooled, and filtered through silica (260-400 mesh, 95:5 Hex:EtOAc) to afford a mixture of deuterated enedienes 51 and 52 (quantitative) as a pale yellow oil: TLC analysis (90:10 Hex:EtOAc) $R_{f} 0.2 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.11$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.82-5.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49-5.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-$ $5.76(\mathrm{~m}), 4.12(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-1.62$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 172.4(\mathrm{~s})$, 141.5 ( s$), 136.6$ (d), 133.8 (d), 131.6 (d), 115.6 ( t$), 114.9$ ( t$), 61.2$ ( t$)$, 58.2 (s), 48.7 (d), 45.1 (d), 40.7 (t), 39.4 (t), 36.9 (t), 13.9 (q); ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 4.91\left(\mathrm{C}\left(=\mathrm{CD}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.77\left(\mathrm{C}\left(=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)$; IR (ATR) $1723(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 94), 1641(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 52) ; \operatorname{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}=\right.$ 323.2176) found $m / z 323.2167$.
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